

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/790,403 | 03/01/2004 | M. Selim Unlu | BU-021AX | 1449 | |
| 207 7590 08/24/2007 WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP TEN POST OFFICE SQUARE | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | WILCZEWSKI, MARY A | | |
| BOSTON, MA 02109 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2822 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 08/24/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/790,403 | M. SELIM UNLU | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| M. Wilczewski | 2822 | | |

| | M. Wilczewski | 2822 | |
|--|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with th | e correspondence add | iress |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) | of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evide in compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing | unt of the fee. The appropri originally set in the final Off | riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) | , to avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | | | ecause |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | NOTE below); | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | reducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally | rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | Compliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | te, timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 13-23. | | will be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>24-26</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-12 and 27-31</u> . | • | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap | peal and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | it does NOT place the application | n in condition for allowa | nce because: |
| | , , , , , | The solution for all one | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | - | |
| | | | |
| • | | M. Wilczewski Primary Examiner | |
| | | Tech Center 2800 | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The extensive amendments made to independent claim 24 require further search and/or consideration by the Examiner.